Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

Vendor

Name: Granger Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Cost proposal percentage is the lowest. Cost may go higher due to unforeseen costs.	46
	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio  Possible Points 40	Granger did a good job on the dam removal and ahead of schedule. Projects listed are similar in scope.	36
	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>		9
		Total	91

Vendor

Name: Granger **Evaluator 1** 

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Percentage is good inclusive of overhead and profit.	46
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio  Possible Points 40	relevant experience with park and municpal projects, including the Flint River Restoration and Ranney Park improvements	34
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	lots of recreational sites, variety of trades overseen	9
		Total	l 89

Evaluator Name: DNR Development - Kriss

Bennett

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park **Vendor** 

Name: Granger Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Concerned about exclusions. Also a lot of wording changes to the contract which changes compensation to percentage and not fixed rate.	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	did not talk about how they would bid trades and engineering just that they would work with the county to develop this. In construction admin, it seems they are stating reliance on the Counties consultant to be the lead. Part of what makes the MD a strong contract is to have the MD overseeing the construction	35
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	lots of recreational sites, variety of trades overseen	8
		Total	88

Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

Vendor

Name: Lurvey Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
-	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Percentage is fair and aligns with the expectations	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Projects listed are well built	36
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	Projects seem to be medium scaled but varied and complex	8
		Total	89

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

Vendor

Name: Lurvey Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Will work within the cap of the project and offer alternatives as they arise. Including the insurance is the lowest bidder. Will create a compreshensive review of all components of the project. Review laid out well, will result in cost savings	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio  Possible Points 40	Extensive experience delivering complex community projects, including custom playgrounds, specialized site work, and projects requiring careful coordination with agencies and stakeholders	37
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	Local projects have a varried amout of disciplines. References from local trades.	8
		Total	90

Evaluator Name: Kriss Bennett

Vendor

Name: Lurvey Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	good discussion on how budget will be managed and controlled.	44
	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	a little concerned that engineers are already chosen without a bidding process, but also are bringing in continuation of current consultants. Would have liked more information on how they conduct their bidding process. Clearly taking the role as Construction manager and oversight.	37
	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	good recreation references, trails, inclusion of playscape projects, broad amount of trades overseen	9
		Total	90

Vendor

Name: DiMaria **Evaluator 1** 

Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Highest percentage	40
	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Good experience all around. Could do the work.	37
:	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	Lots of park projects	10
		Total	87

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

Vendor

Name: DiMaria Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
-	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	Percentage higher than others. Insurance seems in line	38
	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Overall, the submission was very detailed, with a well-organized explanation of how their process would be carried out.	40
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	Worked with DNR in the past understands the needs.	8
		Total	86

Vendor

Name: DiMaria Evaluator 1

Evaluator Name: Kriss Bennett

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered.  Possible Points 50	much higher percentage than other bidders	40
	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	made a point to showcase resumes of staff, highlighted some valid pinch points in construction and process, very thorough in describing process.	39
	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects <b>Possible Points 10</b>	extensive experience in the recreation space. Worked for both State level and municipal level clients.	9
		Tota	88

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

## Summary of scores

	DeMaria	Lurvey	Gran	ger
Linihan		86	90	89
Bennett		88	90	88
Walker		87	89	91
		261	269	268