

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

Vendor

Name: Granger

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Cost proposal percentage is the lowest. Cost may go higher due to unforeseen costs. Granger did a good job on the dam removal and ahead of schedule. Projects listed are similar in scope.	46
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40		36
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10		9
		Total	91

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

Vendor

Name: **Granger**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Percentage is good inclusive of overhead and profit. relevant experience with park and municipal projects, including the Flint River Restoration and Ranney Park improvements lots of recreational sites, variety of trades overseen	46
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40		34
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10		9
		Total	89

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Vendor

Name: **Granger**

Evaluator Name: DNR Development - Kriss
Bennett

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Concerned about exclusions. Also a lot of wording changes to the contract which changes compensation to percentage and not fixed rate.	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	did not talk about how they would bid trades and engineering just that they would work with the county to develop this. In construction admin, it seems they are stating reliance on the Counties consultant to be the lead. Part of what makes the MD a strong contract is to have the MD overseeing the construction	35
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	lots of recreational sites, variety of trades overseen	8
		Total	88

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

Vendor

Name: **Lurvey**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Percentage is fair and aligns with the expectations	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Projects listed are well built	36
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	Projects seem to be medium scaled but varied and complex	8
		Total	89

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

Vendor

Name: **Lurvey**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Will work within the cap of the project and offer alternatives as they arise. Including the insurance is the lowest bidder. Will create a comprehensive review of all components of the project. Review laid out well, will result in cost savings	45
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Extensive experience delivering complex community projects, including custom playgrounds, specialized site work, and projects requiring careful coordination with agencies and stakeholders	37
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	Local projects have a varried amout of disciplines. References from local trades.	8
		Total	90

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Kriss Bennett

Vendor

Name: **Lurvey**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	good discussion on how budget will be managed and controlled.	44
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	a little concerned that engineers are already chosen without a bidding process, but also are bringing in continuation of current consultants. Would have liked more information on how they conduct their bidding process. Clearly taking the role as Construction manager and oversight.	37
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	good recreation references, trails, inclusion of playscape projects, broad amount of trades overseen	9
		Total	90

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Ron Walker

Vendor

Name: **DiMaria**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Highest percentage	40
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	Good experience all around. Could do the work.	37
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	Lots of park projects	10
		Total	87

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Patrick Linihan

Vendor

Name: **DiMaria**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	Percentage higher than others. Insurance seems in line	38
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40		40
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	Worked with DNR in the past understands the needs.	8
		Total	86

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Evaluator Name: Kriss Bennett

Vendor

Name: **DiMaria**

Evaluator 1

criteria	Description	Comments	Score
1	Cost Proposal - While important, the cost proposal will not be the determining factor for an award. In reviewing cost proposals, the factors referenced above shall be considered. Possible Points 50	much higher percentage than other bidders	40
2	Experience - Firm's Experience and Portfolio Possible Points 40	made a point to showcase resumes of staff, highlighted some valid pinch points in construction and process, very thorough in describing process.	39
3	References - Firm's references of successfully completed projects Possible Points 10	extensive experience in the recreation space. Worked for both State level and municipal level clients.	9
		Total	88

RFP #: 25-454 Master Developer for New State Park

Summary of scores

	DeMaria	Lurvey	Granger	
Linihan		86	90	89
Bennett		88	90	88
Walker		87	89	91
	261	269		268